

Creative Industries and Their Effects on Local Economic Development Job Creation and Innovation

Indira Mulyasari¹, Alam Suparlin¹, Rusli¹

¹Universitas Fajar Makassar

*Corresponding Author: Indira Mulyasari

E-mail: Indira.ilham@yahoo.com

Article Info

Article History:

Received April 22, 2024

Revised April 26, 2024

Accepted: May 20, 2024

Keywords:

Creative Industries, Local Economic Growth, Job Creation, Innovation, Digital Transformation.

Abstract

This research seeks to establish the creative industries' position in the creation of creativity-based local economic development through quantitative research. The creative industries are those that involves sectors like arts, design, media and the communication, fashion, architecture, technology, and advertisement among others. The research used a structured questionnaire administered on the respondents in these sectors to obtain empirical evidence on their contribution to the economy, employment generation, innovation and issues affecting them. The data showed that creative industries make considerable impacts on local economic development with respect to employment generation and innovativeness that has digital change as a major determinant. Enriching economic performance was also found to be positively influenced by cross-sector knowledge spillovers between the creative disciplines with the rest sectors. Thus, the major threats, which were described by the respondents, were the following: limited access to the financing, shortage of skills for development, and infrastructure constraints. Such a study implies the need to mapped and address the potentials and barriers of creative industries through a policy that focuses on digital environment and skills. By so doing, stakeholders are able to build on sustainability and improve the creative sectors in order to have increases impact in the local economy. This paper's main value therefore lies in presenting quantifiable data on the economic impacts of the creative industries and recommendations for practice that may be useful to policy makers and business strategists.

Introduction

Specifically, in current years, the role of innovative industries in generating creativity-based totally local economic development has attracted coherent interest from policymakers, educators, and practitioners. Creative industries cover a vast range of fields, such arts, design, media, marketing and advertising, architecture, fashion and technology among others both having social contribution and economic value (Ost & Saleh, 2021; Primawanti et al., 2023). This creation examines the dual-sided effects of innovative industries on local economy focusing on the relationship between creativity, innovation, entrepreneurship and sustainable economic growth.

Creative industries are acclaimed for capacity to create value through formation of intangible asset which include ideas, designs, contents and reports (Ausat et al., 2023; Mohiuddin et al., 2022). These industries rely on the development and application of creativity, imagination and originality and are useful in the progression of innovation and procuring of monetary diversification (Hasmawati et al., 2024). Studies, highlighted with the help of Kertcher et al. (2020) state that creative industries are rather fluid and they overlap with other sectors, as they promote cross-sectoral collaborations and generate new merchandise, services, and business modes.

Another critical achievement of innovative industries to the increase of local economy is through creation of new jobs and employment openings. According to the studies in

combination with the references of Alloui & Mourdi (2023), innovative industries are identified as the major employers notably for professional and creative skills. The differentiation of the occupations in creative industries affords a vibrant climate since it contains, for instance, artists, architects, technicians, and industrialists while promoting the improvement of skills and dynamism of the labor market.

New industries can be drivers of innovation and diffusion of technological knowledge or know how within the local economy of neighborhoods (Szakálné Kanó et al., 2023; Rosli & Cacciolatti, 2022). The innovative procedure that is inherent to those industries comprises risk taking, trial, and era of fresh ideas which in convert generate fresh products, services and technologies. Studying with the help of Dutta (2023), there are interactions between creative industries and other industries, generation, health and education; it discusses the multiplier impact of creativity- based innovation on overall economic effect.

The creative industries also perform an instrumental position in the spatial dynamics and culture building process and beautification and enhancement of the towns, regions or neighborhoods (Goldberg et al., 2021; Nag & Mishra, 2023). Cultural services, innovation clusters, creativity, creative gate and rich cultural circuits help increasing quality of existence, attracting tourists and visitors, and the feeling of network satisfaction and community. According to the works, innovative industries have social-cultural value in view of their ability to transform physical environment and promote diverse culture and social harmony.

Digital transition has intensified the effect of innovative sectors through international accessibility, virtual content delivery, online services as well as digital engagement (Stark, 2020). The marriage of creativity and virtual technology has brought the creation of new opportunities for creative marketers, content material creators, and cultural establishments to reach more audience, make money out of digital assets, and to shift business models in line with the new customers' preferences and behaviors (Coelho, 2022; Rane, 2023).

There are issues concerning the harnessing of the total capability of innovative industries for nearby economic development An & Nie (2023). Challenges concerning investment and finance, safety of rights to intellect assets, abilities, infrastructure, and barriers to get right of entry to markets are the factors that may hinder or completely inhibit innovation and innovation organizations (Dahlander et al., 2021). Overcoming those challenges requires a systematic method that combines policy support; funding of skills development and education; infrastructure developments; and international partnership.

Method

This research used quantitative research approach to assess the innovation based local economy advancement fostered by creative industries. Questionnaires were administered among stakeholders operating in arts, design, media, advertising, fashion, architecture and technology entrepreneurship, business and employees/policy makers in creative industries.

Convenience sampling method was used and data were collected using cross-sectional surveys where information was collected at a given point in time. This survey sought to assess the perceptions, economic impacts and the challenges experienced by the creative industries in the background of local economic development. Finally, the target group embraced people from selected urban and rural places where the creative sector is established and thriving with representatives from different fields of the creative industry.

There are currently numerous creative industry professionals and entrepreneurs across the world, so 300 respondents were randomly chosen from this population. These criteria of selection offered an assurance that the respondents had engaged in the creative industry for

only one year and above and were vibrant in the operations of the business firm. As for the representativeness, participants were recruited from various geographical regions to include predominately the major cities famous for the creative industry hubs.

The main data collection tool was a structured questionnaire which was first piloted to obtain feedback from the researcher and other participants, then refined. The survey comprised of close-ended questions aimed at capturing necessary characteristics for instance, the role played by the creative industries in employment generation, innovation, technology transfer, and development of territories. It also comprised items gauging hindrances such as funding, physical infrastructure and policy frameworks. Data was collected using a Likert scale of which distributes responses from strongly disagree at the lowest end of the scale to strongly agree on the higher.

Both qualitative and quantitative analysis was used to analyse the data collected through statistical tests. Quantitative methods of data analysis included use of means, frequencies, and percentages that helped in analyzing the respondents' demographic characteristics and their views about the creative industries. To analyze the existence of the connection between creative industries and the local economic development, such descriptive and inferential statistics were used as multiple regression analysis, Pearson correlation. The statistical tool that we used for all the data analysis is the standard statistical software called SPSS.

To establish the credibility of the instrument, the questionnaire was pilot tested unto a small population (n=30) of creative industry professionals and analyzed with a view of making relevant modifications to the study tool. Internal consistency of the instrument was estimated by Cronbach's alpha symbol, which equal to 0. 85 expressing a high level of internal reliability or internal consistency.

Result and Discussion

The purpose of this research was to examine the creativity-based role played by the creative industries in developing the local economic growth through empirical analysis. The study aimed at trying to obtain factual evidence on how these industries enhance economic growth and human employment opportunity, and enhance the creative economy through the involvement of different stake holders in various creative industries. They also bring out the qualitative insights related to the post-/positive effects of the creative industries in the economic impact of the regions and the challenges that under / confront the full potential of such industries. In the next section, you will find out the results of a quantitative analysis, revealing the degree of creative industries' impact on local economic development and potential areas for future developments.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Variables

Variable	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Job Creation	4.20	0.65
Innovation Contribution	4.15	0.70
Knowledge Spillovers	3.90	0.60
Cultural Identity and Place-making	4.30	0.50
Digital Transformation Impact	4.10	0.68
Access to Funding	3.50	0.85
Skills Shortages	3.40	0.90
Infrastructure Barriers	3.60	0.80

In Table 1, the descriptive statistics indicate that Cultural Identity and Place-making (Mean = 4.30, SD = 0.50) and Job Creation (Mean = 4.20, SD = 0.65) were perceived to have the

strongest impact on local economic growth, while Access to Funding and Skills Shortages had lower mean scores.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix of Variables and Local Economic Growth

Variable	Correlation with Local Economic Growth (r)
Job Creation	0.68
Innovation Contribution	0.72
Knowledge Spillovers	0.65
Cultural Identity and Place-making	0.67
Digital Transformation Impact	0.74
Access to Funding	-0.22
Skills Shortages	-0.25
Infrastructure Barriers	-0.20

Table 2 presents the correlation between the creative industries' roles and local economic growth. Digital Transformation Impact had the highest correlation ($r = 0.74$), indicating a strong relationship with local economic growth, while challenges like Access to Funding ($r = -0.22$) and Skills Shortages ($r = -0.25$) were negatively correlated.

Table 3. Regression Analysis of Factors Influencing Local Economic Growth

Predictor Variable	Beta (β)	Standard Error (SE)	p-value
Job Creation	0.30	0.08	0.004
Innovation Contribution	0.41	0.07	0.000
Knowledge Spillovers	0.28	0.09	0.027
Cultural Identity and Place-making	0.32	0.06	0.006
Digital Transformation Impact	0.45	0.05	0.000
Access to Funding	-0.22	0.07	0.018
Skills Shortages	-0.25	0.06	0.007
Infrastructure Barriers	-0.20	0.08	0.040

Table 3 provides the results of the regression analysis. Digital Transformation Impact had the highest beta value ($\beta = 0.45$, $p = 0.000$), indicating its strong contribution to local economic growth, while Access to Funding ($\beta = -0.22$, $p = 0.018$) and Skills Shortages ($\beta = -0.25$, $p = 0.007$) negatively impacted growth.

Table 4. Frequency of Responses to Challenges Faced by Creative Industries

Challenge	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly Disagree (%)
Access to Funding	22	30	25	15	8
Skills Shortages	25	33	20	14	8
Infrastructure Barriers	26	35	18	12	9

Table 4 shows the distribution of responses to challenges. The highest percentage of respondents (35%) agreed that Infrastructure Barriers were a significant challenge, followed closely by Skills Shortages (33%). Access to Funding was also cited as a considerable challenge, with 30% of respondents agreeing.

This paper seeks to establish the creative industries, their accomplishments in inspiring creativity based local economic development as well as the challenges that they face. The

findings add to the theoretical understanding of creative industries in both urban and peripheral contexts, and provides quantitative data in relation to employment generation, innovation, knowledge externalities, and effects of the digital revolution on regional economies. The current research has therefore provided a quantitative analysis of these contributions while addressing gaps in the literature by identifying tangible barriers to future increases.

The study results provided thestantiate that creative industries play a huge role in the local economic development mainly in creating employment opportunities and innovation. Previous research has pointed out that creative industries are rather important as job generators and they are especially important to cities; they are most likely to employ designers, media professionals and architects (Yang & Kossak, 2022). Our study supports this, where most of the participants viewed the Creative Industries as agents of economic diversification with high mean scores on the job creation (Mean = 4. 20) and innovation (Mean = 4. 15). This is in agreement with Boğa & Topcu (2020) who suggests that creative industries are a key component of the innovation economy; however, our study builds on this by providing empirical data that proves the existence of the above assertion in both urban and non-urban areas with creative clusters. Therefore, this research offers the empirical evidence that creativity-based the local economic development is not solely limited to large urban cities.

The qualitative analysis of the creative industries due to digital transformation presented insight; However, the total positive relationship observed between digital transformation and economic growth ($r = 0.75$, $\beta = 0.45$, $p = 0.000$) was quantitatively significant. This supports the findings made by Gawer (2022) where he notes that use of digital platforms has transformed the manner in which creative business undertakes to reach and monetize audiences across the globe. Thus, our quantitative contribution to this literature is that digital transformation predicts the growth of local economy within creative occupation types more than any other variable. It is therefore likely that increased investment in digital capabilities and assets is likely to open further economic value chain. In addition, as pointed out by Pedota & Piscitello (2021), the act of creativity is merging with technology to redefine the economic and cultural dynamics and give creative innovators a new avenue to effect economic diversity. These observations are further confirmed in our research Bu the study presents concrete measures of their economies.

One of the major findings and implications of this research is a relatively novel look at the outward knowledge transfer between creative industries and the rest of the economy. Speaking of the positive relationship between knowledge spillovers and economic growth ($=0.65$), it is possible to refer to previous works of Lee (2020) who stated that creative industries are core to grand innovation environments, which not only create cultural values but also technology and science. Building on this, our study demonstrates that creative industries drive cross-sector supply chain relationships especially in health, learning, and technology industries, producing innovations for the common advantage of a broader economy. This builds upon prior works by further offering measures of these consumer and producer externalities. Dutta (2023) also briefly touched on the multiplier effect of creative industries and their relationships with other sectors but our study extends that argument by looking at the positive impact of these connections on regional development and competitiveness. This goes a long way to fill a gap observed in the literature, which is the precise measure of tangible economic effects of knowledge spillovers across sectors.

That said, creative industries have begun to offer rather considerable promise; they are not without their problems, however, with many of these revolving around such issues as

sourcing for funding, issues of skills/skill deficits, and issues surrounding facilities and infrastructure. As highlighted in Table 3 below, these barriers have a negative influence on CI's potential to optimize the contribution towards economic development with accessing funding, skills deficit and infrastructure all having a significant impact; $\beta = -0.22, p = 0.018$; $\beta = -0.25, p = 0.007$; $\beta = -0.20, p = 0.040$. This accords with the findings of Dahlander et al. (2021), who pointed out that the lack of external financing makes inventive companies incapable of expanding their business models. In addition, Walzer (2020) pointed out the lack of proper sponsorship for backing the viable and sound future of creative industries both on fundraising and policy-making aspect. The present research corroborates these results, thus giving concrete information about the effects of such barriers in the framework of local economies. It underscores the importance of policy intervention that bridge such gaps focusing on better access to credit and capital expenditure on social infrastructures.

Our study highlighted the impact of shortages of skilled talent in the creative industries (refer to section below 'Analysis of responses') which according to our survey 33% of the respondents deemed as a significant problem; this mirrors Mellander & Florida (2021) who note that even though the creative industries require unique and varied human capital, there is usually a deficiency in talented human resources readily available to fill the positions. This situation becomes a problem to the sustainability and competitiveness of creative businesses. In response to this, our study provides evidence that continued educational and training initiatives will help develop prospective creative talents that will close the gap between employers' demands and supply. It is thus pertinent for this aspect of the study to meet the existing gap in the literature by providing the much-needed specifics of how skill development indeed performs the key role in establishing the creative industries particularly in emerging economies.

The policy implications can therefore be easily deduced from this study. Instead, to develop creative industries at their full potential, it is necessary to aim higher and try to understand and work with structural constraints. This requires going beyond finance and addressing such areas as digitalization, innovation and entrepreneurship support as well as talent development. The study has backed Khlystova et al. (2022) who stated that there is a need for a favourable policy environment in support of the creative industry. Besides, the cooperative structures involving government, business sector, and academia are crucial for generating sustainability for creative clusters, as pointed by Zhou & Wang (2023).

This study advances knowledge in three ways by providing an in-depth, empirical analysis of the needs of creative industries as well as practical policy recommendations. Through the study of both, the impacts driving creative business growth and barriers to their growth, this study fills the gap that calls for integrated policies to support the growth of creative industries over the long run. Therefore, future research can focus on how creative industries are affecting the different regions and how policies and infrastructures are affecting outcomes in different regions.

Conclusion

This work has revealed that creative industries remained major players in job creation, innovation as well as regional development of local economy. The quantitative analysis also affirms that creative industries contribute more than vibrant economy, knowledge transfer from one sector to another and the exploitation of digitization to create value. But there are some impediments, including restricted sources of financing, the lack of skilled workforce, and infrastructure constraints to work with that have to be overcome to fully unlock the potential of such industries. The outcomes stress the requirement to make prudent investments into creative industries and appropriately address corresponding risks to ensure

sustainable development of these enterprises. Such gaps mean that suitable linkages connecting stakeholders to the potential of the creative industries for economic growth and resilience can be void, and in turn stall the common goal of enhanced socially inclusive local economies.

References

- Allioui, H., & Mourdi, Y. (2023). Unleashing the potential of AI: Investigating cutting-edge technologies that are transforming businesses. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Data Science (IJCEDs)*, 3(2), 1-12.
- An, Z., & Nie, D. (2023). Impact Of Chinese Cultural Elements On Spiritual Expression In Oil Painting Imagery Landscape: An Exploratory Study In Arts Education. *Arts Educa*, 37.
- Ausat, A. M. A., Al Bana, T., & Gadzali, S. S. (2023). Basic capital of creative economy: The role of intellectual, social, cultural, and institutional capital. *Apollo: Journal of Tourism and Business*, 1(2), 42-54. <https://doi.org/10.58905/apollo.v1i2.21>
- Boğa, S., & Topcu, M. (2020). Creative economy: A literature review on relational dimensions, challenges, and policy implications. *Economics*, 8(2), 149-169. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2020-0014>
- Coelho Rodrigues, J. (2022). Business models for the digital transformation of audiovisual archives. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 28(8), 1975-1999. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-01-2021-0073>
- Dahlander, L., Gann, D. M., & Wallin, M. W. (2021). How open is innovation? A retrospective and ideas forward. *Research Policy*, 50(4), 104218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104218>
- Dutta, M. (2023). *Creative Economy and Sustainable Development: The Context of Indian Handicrafts*. Taylor & Francis.
- Gawer, A. (2022). Digital platforms and ecosystems: remarks on the dominant organizational forms of the digital age. *Innovation*, 24(1), 110-124. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2021.1965888>
- Goldberg-Miller, S. B., Heimlich, J. E., & Kemper, R. F. (2021). Arts consumers' place-visioning as a tool for planning creative neighborhoods. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 43(10), 1441-1467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2020.1768102>
- Hasmawati, H., Ramly, M., Gani, A., & Arifin, A. How Can the Work Environment Affects the Lecturers' Creativity. <https://doi.org/10.37531/ecotal.v5i2.1613>
- Hasmawati, H., Winarti, W., Jumriani, J., Akbardin, M., & Kallabe, A. (2024). The Influence of Performance Assessment and Employee Abilities on Employee Career Development. *Economics and Digital Business Review*, 5(2), 1071-1083.
- Kertcher, Z., Venkatraman, R., & Coslor, E. (2020). Pleasingly parallel: Early cross-disciplinary work for innovation diffusion across boundaries in grid computing. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 581-594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.04.018>
- Khlystova, O., Kalyuzhnova, Y., & Belitski, M. (2022). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the creative industries: A literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of business research*, 139, 1192-1210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.09.062>

- Lee, H. K. (2020). Making creative industries policy in the real world: differing configurations of the culture-market-state nexus in the UK and South Korea. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 26(4), 544-560. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10286632.2019.1577401>
- Mellander, C., & Florida, R. (2021). The rise of skills: Human capital, the creative class, and regional development. *Handbook of regional science*, 707-719.
- Mohiuddin Babu, M., Akter, S., Rahman, M., Billah, M. M., & Hack-Polay, D. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence in shaping the future of Agile fashion industry. *Production Planning & Control*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2022.2060858>
- Nag, A., & Mishra, S. (2023). Unlocking the Power of Stakeholder Perception: Enhancing Competitive Heritage Planning and Place-Making. In *Exploring Culture and Heritage Through Experience Tourism* (pp. 196-226). IGI Global.
- Ost, C., & Saleh, R. (2021). Cultural and creative sectors at a crossroad: from a mainstream process towards an active engagement. *Built Heritage*, 5(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43238-021-00032-y>
- Pedota, M., & Piscitello, L. (2022). A new perspective on technology-driven creativity enhancement in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 31(1), 109-122. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12468>
- Primawanti, H., Hidayat, T., & Sumadinata, W. S. (2023). Global Creative Economy Development: Study of West Java's Efforts through Para diplomacy Instruments. *Kurdish Studies*, 11(2), 4494-4509.
- Rane, N. (2023). Metaverse marketing strategies: enhancing customer experience and analysing consumer behaviour through leading-edge Metaverse technologies, platforms, and models. *Available at SSRN*.
- Rosli, A., & Cacciolatti, L. (2022). The role of universities in the development of the local knowledge base: supporting innovation ecosystems through skills development and entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Intellectual Property Management*, 12(1), 64-87. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIPM.2022.121018>
- Stark, J. (2020). *Digital transformation of industry*. Geneva: Springer International Publishing.
- Szakálné Kanó, I., Vas, Z., & Klasová, S. (2023). Emerging synergies in innovation systems: Creative industries in Central Europe. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 14(1), 450-471. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00879-7>
- Walzer, D. (2020). Leadership in the creative industries: Addressing an uncertain future. *Journal of the Music & Entertainment Industry Educators Association*, 20(1), 147-172.
- Yang, Y., & Kossak, F. (2022). Building typology as a generator of urban form for urban design project. *Cities Assem*, 1, 147-159. <https://doi.org/10.36158/978889295328413>
- Zhou, J., & Wang, M. (2023). The role of government-industry-academia partnership in business incubation: Evidence from new R&D institutions in China. *Technology in Society*, 72, 102194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102194>